

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/639,618	10/639,618 08/13/2003		Michael D. Mayfield	22870.00	7411
37833	7590	10/11/2005		EXAMINER	
LITMAN PO BOX 15		FICES, LTD		MAYES, DIONNE WALLS	
CRYSTAL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	ON, VA 2	22215		1731	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/639,618	MAYFIELD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this accounting to	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ie, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,10,13,14,19 and 20 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,10,13,14,19 and 20 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	execution is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 3, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, 10, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite at lest one "unobstructed" aperture in the dome-shaped cover, but Applicant has not indicated what it means by this term and such term is not further defined in the instant specification. Therefore, it does not appear that Applicant has support in the Specification for such language. Removal of the term "unobstructed" from the claim is requested.

Application/Control Number: 10/639,618 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-6, 10, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luedecke (US. Pat. No. 6,186,355) in view of Kesinger (US. Pat. No. 3,802,560).

Luedecke discloses a collection device for smoking debris, which, according to the Figure 1A embodiment, has almost all of the features that are claimed (i.e. a cover (13') defining what appears to be an unobstructed aperture (15) which appears to be of the claimed diameter, wherein the cover hides cigarette butts from view; a lower section (8); a hinge (47) connecting the cover to the lower section; a tray/trashcan/inner lining (21) disposed beneath the cover to hold cigarette butts). Luedecke may not specifically state that there is a lock attached to the cover and the lower section, or that such a lock is a padlock or a recessed lock. However, this limitation is not deemed to patentably distinguish the claims from the Luedecke reference since it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a locking means, such as a conventional padlock, to prevent the cans (3) which are disposed in the refuse box from being accessed by the public. Further, while Luedecke may not state that the cover 13' is domed-shaped, this limitation in the claims is not deemed to patentably distinguish it from the reference as one having ordinary skill in the art would

Application/Control Number: 10/639,618 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

have obviously considered making the cover "dome shaped" based on design choice as it is well known that many devices for collecting smoking debris have dome-shaped covers. While Luedecke may not teach a nonflammable material at least partially filling the tray/trashcan/liner, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have added a nonflammable material, such as sand, to the tray since, as explained in Kesinger et al, ash trays of the sand receptacle type are widely used because sand advantageously snuffs out cigar/cigarette butts almost immediately upon contact (see col. 1, lines 10-13).

Regarding claims 13-14, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attached the lower section to the trash can (21) with, for example, a chain or other securing means, so that said can will not be displaced when the refuse box is moved or transported to other locations.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 19-20 are allowed. The reasons for allowance are that it would not have been obvious to modify the prior art of record to include in an ashtray (with a cylinder-shaped vessel) a lining defining a cavity at least partly filled with a bottom layer of immobile material and an upper layer of a nonflammable material.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/639,618 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- De Boer, Carter et al, and Sheppard

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

October 6, 2005